

**RPUG 2018 CONFERENCE – SOUTH DAKOTA** 30 Years On The Road To Progressively Better Data

Rapid City September 18-21

# Tire Pavement Interaction Noise and Correlation with Pavement Texture Parameters

Presentation by Dr. Ricardo Burdisso

Lucas Spies<sup>1</sup>; Sterling McBride<sup>2</sup>; Ricardo Burdisso<sup>3</sup>; Corina Sandu<sup>4</sup> and Vincent Bongioanni<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup><u>lucass19@vt.edu</u>; <sup>2</sup><u>msterl6@vt.edu</u>; <sup>3</sup><u>rburdiss@vt.edu</u>; <sup>4</sup><u>csandu@vt.edu</u>; <sup>5</sup><u>VBongioanni@vtti.vt.edu</u>



# Outline

### Introduction

- Experiments
- Experimental results
  - Tread and Non-tread pattern noise
  - Relationship between pavement profile and noise
- Discussions



# Introduction

- Tire noise is the main contributor to vehicle noise at highway speeds.
- Typical mitigation is to implement acoustic barriers for main highways and roads.
- The main noise sources for tirepavement noise (TPIN) have not been accurately modeled.
- An experimental TPIN campaign was undertaken at Virginia Tech for:
  - Model development
    - Empirical and physically based predictions
  - Uncover physical insight into TPIN



### Donavan, P. (2008) - Exterior Noise of Vehicles



# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiments
- Experimental results
  - Tread and Non-tread pattern noise
  - Relationship between pavement profile and noise
- Discussions



### Experiments: Pavements and Tires • US460 Road • 42 tires



Dense graded hot mix asphalt (HMA)

• VT SMART road



26 pavement sections:

- 14 mixes asphalt
- 8 concrete
- 3 bridges

- 1 Open Graded Friction
- 1 concrete section with longitudinal grooves
- 7 concrete sections with transverse grooves

# US46U KOad GPS Coordinate (37.249734, -80.428280)



5

# Experiments: Test conditions

Test information				
Number of tires	42 8 winter tires 1 SRTT tire 1 slick tire			
Hardness range <sup>¥</sup>	56 to 79 Shore A			
Steady state speeds tested	45 50 55 60 65 mph			
Acceleration test	45 to 65 mph			
Tire pressure <sup>¥</sup>	26 32 40 psi			
Ambient temperature range	37°F to 86°F			
For tires with D < 700 mm	2012 Chevrolet Impala (FWD)			
For tires with D > 700 mm	2017 Chevrolet Tahoe (AWD)			



RPUG Road Profile Users' Group

¥ - Tire pressure, hardness, and temperature measured at start and end of each tire test.



## Experiments: Noise Measurements

• Noise: OBSI with optical sensor



Sound intensity probes

- Optical sensor produces a once per revolution signal. It is used to
  - obtain vehicle speed accurately.
  - perform order tracking analysis.



Each peak represents the retroreflective tape going in front of the optical sensor.

RPUG Road Profile Users' Group

OBSI: On-Board Sound Intensity system

### Experiments: Pavement Measurements

• Pavement profile data was collected using a Sideway-Force Coefficient Routine Investigation Machine (SCRIM) equipped with a profiling laser.



r avenient prome measurement parameters.				
Measurement resolution (after processing)	0.5 - 1 mm			
Lowest velocity for noise measurements	45 mph – 20 m/s			
Sampling period.	~ 49 microseconds			
Sampling frequency	64 kHz			

Pavement profile measurement parameters



# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiments
- Experimental results
  - Tread and Non-tread pattern noise
  - Relationship between pavement profile and noise
- Discussions



• Noise spectrogram from acceleration test (Tire 12, 45 to 65 mph).



#### **30** years on the Road To Progressively Better Data



• Noise spectrogram from acceleration test (Tire 12, 45 to 65 mph).



#### **30 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data**



• Noise spectrogram from acceleration test (Tire 12, 45 to 65 mph).



#### **30 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data**



• TPIN can be separated into two components: tread (TPN) and non-tread pattern (NTPN) noise

Optical signal used to perform

order tracking analysis.



Order tracking analysis allows to extract the tread pattern noise from the total noise signal 0 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data

### • Extraction of TPN noise:



Order tracking analysis: For each window

- Noise signal resampled
- Compute DFT
- Average DFTs (TPN in frequency domain)
- Take inverse DFT of average DFT (TPN in time domain)
- Subtract TPN signal from total signal (NTPN in time domain)

1 revolution of the tire (window).





# 103.497.1102.3EXPERIMENTS RESULTS: Tread and non-tread pattern Noise

• Tire noise separation results: winter tire – US460 – 60 mph



TPN accounts for 23.4% of total acoustic energy.



Michelin X-ICE X13 (Winter tire) 215/60R16



• Tire noise separation results: SRTT – US460 – 60 mph





SRTT - Standard Reference Test Tire

TPN accounts for 3.8% of total acoustic energy (for the pavement tested).





Tread pattern noise spectrogram

Tread Pattern Noise spectrogram - SMART road - Run # 4 @60mpl

#### Non-Tread pattern noise spectrogram



SMART road data – 60 mph

#### **30** years on the Road To Progressively Better Data



### Experiments results: Tread and non-tread pattern Noise Effect of different pavement surfaces on the TPN and NTPN







Sec F

PCC 1d

OGFC

1600

RR bridge

1800

2000

18

- TPN is produced only by the tread pattern.
- NTPN is mainly produced by the pavement (independent of tread pattern).
- These observations suggest that the characterization of pavement noise should be based only on the NTPN.
- The rest of the results will focus on NTPN component.



# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiments
- Experimental results
  - Tread and Non-tread pattern noise
  - Relationship between pavement profile and noise
- Discussions



### Experiments results: Pavement profile vs Noise Pavement Profile Spectrum





- Pavement profile spectrum is computed using the wavenumber transform (plotted vs k).
- It is also plotted vs wavelength (λ) to easily observe periodicity of wavenumber components.
- It is also plotted vs frequency (based on vehicle speed, V) to compare to noise spectrum.



21

### Experiments results: Pavement profile vs Noise

### NTPN Spectrum

### **Pavement Profile Spectrum (Non-porous)**



### Experiments results: Pavement profile vs Noise

### NTPN Spectrum

**Pavement Profile Spectrum** 



## Experiments results: Pavement profile vs Noise

### Tonal noise associated with transverse grooves.

Pavement profile spectrum - dB scale profile spectrum - dB scal Pavement Spectrum 55 55 HWY bridge PCC 1g 55 **RR** Bridge μ  ${}^{\tt w}{}^{\tt w}$ μ 50 50 35 30 30 25 20 1200 1400 1200 1/100 200 1200 Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) NTPN A weighted spectrum - Decibels scale NTPN A weighted spectrum - Decibels scale NTPN A weighted spectrum - Decibels scale NTPN Spectrum . 6 Pa Ра Ра 9 9 85 20 200 400 2000 200 400 600 800 1200 1600 1800 2000 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) **30 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data** 24



# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiments
- Experimental results
  - Tread and Non-tread pattern noise
  - Relationship between pavement profile and noise
- Discussions



# Discussions

- A large number of tire noise data was collected using an OBSI system with an optical sensor (for order tracking analysis) under multiple testing conditions.
- Pavement profile data was acquired using a scanning laser.
- Tire noise was separated into two main components: tread (TPN) and non-treadpattern (NTPN) noise
  - TPN is due only the tread pattern
  - NTPN is mainly a function of pavement.
- The NTPN spectrum is correlated to the pavement profile spectrum only over a limited frequency range (~ 200 to 900 Hz).



### Extras



### TPIN vs pavement transverse corrugation distress

US460 road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with the pavement distress.

- On the US460 road test section, there was a segment (~300 m) where the non-tread pattern noise component showed tonal components.
- It was speculated that the tonal noise was due to corrugation of the pavement. However, visual inspection of the pavement didn't revealed these corrugations.



**30 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data** 



A-weighted Power Spectrum of Sound Pressure (Frequency of Interest)





### TPIN vs pavement transverse corrugation distress US460 road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with the pavement distress.

Spectrograms shown are for tire 20 (i.e. SRTT tire). It is important to highlight that the similar behavior was observed in all 5 tested tires.



## TPIN vs pavement transverse corrugation distress

The non-tread pattern noise can be used to estimate the wavelength of the corrugated pavement.

Non- Tread pattern noise



 $l_{tire}$  : Circumference of the tire

 $f_{\textit{rot-tire}}$ : Rotational speed of the tire in Hz  $f_{\textit{tone}}$ : Frequency interval between noise tones

The corrugated pavement wavelength was confirmed from direct measurements of the pavement profile and the computation of the spectrum.



Tone with ~ **230 mm** wavelength, which matches the wavelength predicted by the noise data

o vear Non-tread pattern TIPN can be used for efficienlty monitoring pavement distress

TPIN vs pavement transverse corrugation distress

US460 road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with the pavement distress.

Results shown are for tire 20 (i.e. SRTT tire). It is important to highlight that the similar behavior was observed in all 5 tested tires.



#### **30** years on the Road To Progressively Better Data



# Experiments

### • VT SMART road test



Notes

Vincent Bongioanni; Created: 22 Jun 17

1. Sectioning and distances are for the "uphill" direction (East to West)

2. When travelling "downhill" (West to East), Section PCC 1b is the Cargill Safelane and PCC 1d is the EP-5; PCC1f is the same surface type as PCC 1a. Downhill distances vary slightly



# Extend TPIN model to include pavement parameters. SMART Road pavement data.

• There are 26 different pavements.





30 years on the Road To Progressively Better Data Project Update – Confidential & Proprietary to CenTiRe



• Tire noise separation procedure





### **Tread Pattern Noise Contribution**

• Overall A-weighted sound pressure level for all tires (dBA)



No.	Total Noise Level [dBA]	Tread Pattern Noise Level [dBA]	Non-Tread Pattern Noise Level [dBA]	Tread Pattern Noise Contribution [%]
12	103.4	97.1	102.3	23.4
15	102.1	86.6	102.0	2.8
18	105.2	90.6	105.1	3.5
19	102.4	93.1	101.8	12.0
20	105.0	90.7	104.8	3.8

- For the **pavement tested**, the tread pattern noise is not the dominant noise source.
- For a <u>newer/smoother pavement</u> (very limited data), the tread pattern noise component account for about 50% of the total noise (Tire 12).





SMART road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with transverse grooves.

The NTPN spectrogram shows tonal content at certain intervals, considered to be associated with the presence of transversal grooves on the pavement.



Time in seconds

#### **30** years on the Road To Progressively Better Data





SMART road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with transverse grooves.

The NTPN spectrogram shows tonal content at certain intervals, considered to be associated with the presence of transversal grooves on the pavement.



Time in seconds





SMART road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with transverse grooves.

The pavement data spectrogram is also computed. The first tone appears at a similar frequency as in the NTPN spectrogram



#### **30 years on the Road To Progressively B**

SMART road data – 60 mph – Tonal noise associated with transverse grooves.

The pavement data spectrogram is also computed. The first tone appears at a similar frequency as in the NTPN spectrogram.



#### 30 years on the Road To Progressively Bo